1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ARISTIN NEWMAN DE LA VEGA, Esq. State Bar No. 232628 Law Office of Anne Hiaring 711 Grand Avenue, Suite 260 San Rafael, CA 94901 Telephone: (415) 457-2040 Facsimile: (415) 457-2822 Bmail: anne@hiaringlaw.com Attorney for Defendant SUNWARE COMPUTER INC. SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation, SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC., below of the American Sunware Computer, Inc. Plaintiff Sun Microsystems, Inc. filed its Complaint for Date on August 4, 2009 against Sunware Computer, Inc. Plaintiff served Sunware Computer Inc. on August 6, 2009 not been filed yet. Accordingly, Defendant Sunware Computer, Inc.	OURT IFORNIA -03564 MHP N AND IPROPOSEDI- ENDING SUNWARE INC.'S TIME TO ANSWER AND EXTENDING E MANAGEMENT E AND ADR DEADLINES . 6(b)] mages and Injunctive Relief . The proof of service has	
) COMPUTER 1	INC.'S TIME TO ANSWER	
13	vs.) COMPLAINT) INITIAL CAS	AND EXTENDING E MANAGEMENT	
	SUNWARE COMPUTER, INC., a California corporation, (Fed. R. Civ. P.	E AND ADR DEADLINES	
15	.5		
16	16 Determant,		
17	7	,	
18	44		
19	.9	Plaintiff Sun Microsystems, Inc. filed its Complaint for Damages and Injunctive Relief	
20		on August 4, 2009 against Sunware Computer, Inc.	
21	Plaintiff served Sunware Computer Inc. on August 6, 2009	. The proof of service has	
22	2 not been filed yet. Accordingly, Defendant Sunware Computer, In	ic. has until August 26, 2009,	
23	3 to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint.	to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint.	
24	4		
25	5		
	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER EXTENDING DEFENDA COMPLAINT AND EXTENDING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFE CASE NO. C 09-03564 MHP - 1 -	ANT'S TIME TO ANSWER RENCE AND ADR DEADLINES	

On August 14, 2009 Defendant Sunware Computer, Inc. retained The Law Office of Anne Hiaring to represent it in this matter.

On August 14, 2009, counsel for Defendant discussed this litigation with counsel for Plaintiff, and discussed the deadline for Defendant Sunware Computer, Inc. to file its answer to Plaintiff's complaint and agreed to a 30-day extension.

I. <u>DEFENDANT'S TIME TO ANSWER</u>

The parties stipulate and respectfully request that the time within which Defendant may respond to the Complaint filed by Plaintiff will be extended by this Court from August 26, 2009 to September 25, 2009 or such date as this Court may order.

The parties believe good cause exists for the Court to grant an extension of time for Sunware Computer, Inc. to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint because of discussions regarding resolution of the action.

II. CASE MANAGEMENT AND ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION DEADLINES

The parties further stipulate and respectfully request that this Court also extend by thirty (30) days, or as soon thereafter as the Court deems convenient, the initial case management and alternative dispute resolution deadlines as set forth in this Court's Order dated August 4, 2009 as follows:

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING DEFENDANT'S TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND EXTENDING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ADR DEADLINES CASE NO. C 09-03564 MHP

1	1. Last day to meet and confer re: initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process	
2	selection, and discovery plan; file joint ADR Certification with Stiputation to ADR Process or	
3	notice of Need for ADR Phone conference is extended to November 25, 2009;	
4	2. Last day to complete initial disclosures or state objection in Rule 26(f) Report, file Case	
5	Management Statement and file/serve Rule 26(f) Report is extended to December 9, 2009; and	
6	3. Case Management Conference (CMC) in Courtroom 15, 18 th Floor, San Francisco at	
7	4:00 p.m. is extended to December 16, 2009.	
8	The parties believe good cause quiets for the Country	
9	H .	
10		
11	resolution of the action,	
12		
13	Respectfully Submitted,	
14	LAW OFFICE OF ANNE HIARING	
16	DATED: By:	
17	Anne Hinning Hocking, Esq. Kristin De La Vega, Esq.	
18	Attorneys for Defendant	
19	STIPULATED TO FENWICK & WEST LLP	
20	DATED: 8/19/09	
21	Ву:	
22	Eric Ball, Esq. Jedediah Wakefield, Esq.	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED. 8/20/2009	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED. 8/20/2009	
25	STIPLIL ATION AND IPPOROSEDIORDER MYSTON	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDIFICATION AND EXTENDING INITIAL CASE MANAGEN CASE NO. C 10-90564 Judge Marilyn H. Patel	

į	
1	DA'TED: By:
2	By:By:HON. MARILYN HALL PATEL United States District Court Judge
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	•
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	
~	CTIMILITATION AND INDODOGRADI ODDAN MAIN
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING DEFENDANT'S TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND EXTENDING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ADR DEADLINES CASE NO. C 09-03564 MHP - 4 -

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF MARIN

I am employed in the County of Marin, State of California; my business address is 711 Grand Avenue, Suite 260, San Rafael, California 94901; I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. On this date I served the following documents:

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING SUNWARE COMPUTER INC.'S TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND EXTENDING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ADR DEADLINES

on the parties shown below:

Jedediah Wakefield, Esq. Fenwick & West LLP 555 California Street, 12th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104

Eric Ball, Esq. Fenwick & West LLP Silicon Valley Center 801 California Street Mountain View, CA 94041

Attorneys for Plaintiff Sun Microsystems, Inc.

- (BY FAX) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of facsimile transmission; on this date the above-referenced documents were transmitted, the transmission was reported as complete and without error and the report was properly issued.
- X (BY MAIL) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for the processing of mail; on this date, the above-referenced documents were placed for collection and delivery by the U.S. Postal Service following ordinary business practices.
- (BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for the processing of documents for delivery services; on this date, the above-referenced documents were placed for collection and delivery following ordinary business practices.
- (BY ELECTRONIC FILING) On this date I provided the documents(s) listed above electronically through the Court's electronic filing service provider pursuant to the instructions on that website.
- (BY E-MAIL) On this date, the above-referenced documents were converted to Adobe files and e-mailed to the addresses shown.
- (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused the above documents to be delivered by hand pursuant to CCP § 1011.
- X Federal: I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

PROOF OF SERVICE

Case 3:09-cv-03564-MHP Document 7 Filed 08/20/09 Page 6 of 6

Executed this | day of August, 2009 at San Rafael, California.

State: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Meghan Auerswald

PROOF OF SERVICE Case No. C 09-03564

-2-